

Chapter 1

Strategic management and strategic competitiveness

Knowledge Objectives

1. Define strategic competitiveness, strategy, competitive advantage, above-average returns and the strategic management process.
2. Describe the competitive landscape and explain how globalisation and technological changes shape it.
3. Use the industrial organisation (I/O) model to explain how firms can earn above-average returns.
4. Use the resource-based model to explain how firms can earn above average-returns.
5. Describe vision and mission and discuss their value.
6. Define stakeholders and describe their ability to influence organisations.
7. Describe the work of strategic leaders.
8. Explain the strategic management process.

Chapter Outline

Opening Case Once a 'Giant,' Borders Became a 'Weakling' on Its Knees

THE COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

The global economy

Strategic Focus Huawei Also Needs Guanxi

The march of globalisation

Technology and technological changes

Strategic Focus The Core of Apple: technology and innovation

THE I/O MODEL OF ABOVE-AVERAGE RETURNS

THE RESOURCE-BASED MODEL OF ABOVE-AVERAGE RETURNS

VISION AND MISSION

Vision

Mission

STAKEHOLDERS

Classifications of stakeholders

STRATEGIC LEADERS

The work of effective strategic leaders

Predicting outcomes of strategic decisions: profit pools

THE STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PROCESS

SUMMARY

KEY TERMS

SEARCH ME! MANAGEMENT

REVIEW QUESTIONS

EXPERIENTIAL EXERCISES

VIDEO CASE

NOTES

Lecture Notes

Chapter Introduction: You may want to begin this lecture with a general comment that Chapter 1 provides an overview of the strategic management process. This chapter introduces a number of key terms and models that students will study in more detail in Chapters 2 through 13. Stress the importance of students paying careful attention to the concepts introduced in this chapter so that they are well-grounded in strategic management concepts before proceeding further.

OPENING CASE

Once a 'Giant' Borders Became a 'Weakling' on Its Knees

At one time Borders was the largest bookseller in the world. However, in February, 2011, Borders declared bankruptcy. The opening case drives home the point that a company's past success guarantees it nothing when key conditions in its environment change. Competition from big box retailers, evolving information technologies, changes in the ways consumers acquire content, and the ongoing consumer quest for convenience have all chipped away at Borders' old sources of competitive advantage.

To initiate discussion, ask how the case lays the groundwork for the importance of strategy as defined in the chapter – the coordinated set of commitments and actions designed to achieve competitive advantage. Ask students how Borders should have responded to the many environmental changes that it was experiencing. The case also provides a nice lead-in to discuss anticipated environmental changes and how Borders might position itself for future success – there is nowhere to go but up. Finally, the case provides the opportunity to discuss strategic leadership or, in this case, the lack thereof. How could effective leadership have kept Borders in the black?

-
- 1 Define strategic competitiveness, strategy, competitive advantage, above-average returns and the strategic management process.

Strategic competitiveness is achieved when a firm successfully formulates and implements a value-creating strategy. By implementing a value-creating strategy that current and potential competitors are not *simultaneously* implementing and that competitors are *unable* to duplicate, or find too costly to imitate, a firm achieves a **competitive advantage**.

Strategy can be defined as an integrated and coordinated set of commitments and actions designed to exploit core competencies and gain a competitive advantage.

So long as a firm can sustain (or maintain) a competitive advantage, investors will earn above-average returns. **Above-average returns** represent returns that exceed returns

that investors expect to earn from other investments with similar levels of **risk** (investor uncertainty about the economic gains or losses that will result from a particular investment). In other words, above average-returns exceed investors' *expected* levels of return for given risk levels.

Teaching Note: Point out that in the long run, firms must earn at least average returns and provide investors with average returns if they are to survive. If a firm earns below-average returns and provides investors with below-average returns, investors will withdraw their funds and place them in investments that earn at least average returns. At this point it may be useful to highlight the role institutional investors play in regulating above average performances.

In smaller new venture firms, performance is sometimes measured in terms of the amount and speed of growth rather than more traditional profitability measures – new ventures require time to earn acceptable returns.

A framework that can assist firms in their quest for strategic competitiveness is the **strategic management process**, the full set of commitments, decisions and actions required for a firm to systematically achieve strategic competitiveness and earn above-average returns. This process is illustrated in *Figure 1.1*.

FIGURE 1.1
The Strategic Management Process

Figure 1.1 illustrates the dynamic, interrelated nature of the elements of the strategic management process and provides an outline of where the different elements of the process are covered in this text.

Feedback linkages among the three primary elements indicate the dynamic nature of the strategic management process: strategic inputs, strategic actions and strategic outcomes.

- **Strategic inputs**, in the form of information gained by scrutinising the internal environment and scanning the external environment, are used to develop the firm's vision and mission.
- **Strategic actions** are guided by the firm's vision and mission, and are represented by strategies that are formulated or developed and subsequently implemented or put into action.
- Desired **strategic outcomes** – strategic competitiveness and above-average returns – result when a firm is able to successfully formulate and implement value-creating strategies that others are unable to duplicate.
- **Feedback** links the elements of the strategic management process together and helps firms continuously adjust or revise strategic inputs and strategic actions in order to

achieve desired strategic outcomes.

In addition to describing the impact of globalisation and technological change on the current business environment, this chapter also discusses two approaches to the strategic management process. The first, the *industrial organisation model*, suggests that the external environment should be considered as the primary determinant of a firm's strategic actions. The second is the *resource-based model*, which perceives the firm's resources and capabilities (the internal environment) as critical links to strategic competitiveness. Following the discussion in this chapter, as well as in Chapters 2 and 3, students should see that these models must be integrated to achieve strategic competitiveness.

Teaching Note: The transient nature of strategic competitiveness is pointed out even more clearly when one realises that only 16 of the 100 largest US industrial corporations in 1900 remained competitive in the 1990s and that four members of 1998's top ten were not among the top ten in 1992. This does not even take into account the great number of US businesses that fail every year (16,150 in 2004).

2 Describe the competitive landscape and explain how globalisation and technological changes shape it.

THE COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

The **competitive landscape** can be described as one in which the fundamental nature of competition is changing in a number of the world's industries. Further, the boundaries of industries are becoming blurred and more difficult to define.

Consider recent changes that have taken place in the telecommunication and TV industries – e.g., not only cable companies and satellite networks compete for entertainment revenue from television, but telecommunication companies also are stepping into the entertainment business through significant improvements in fibre-optic lines. Partnerships further blur industry boundaries (e.g., MSNBC is co-owned by NBC, itself owned by General Electric and Microsoft). Many firms are looking into the delivery of video on demand (VOD). Apple iPod has the current lead in offering VOD content, but Netflix is vying hard to compete in this arena since VOD could be the kiss of death to its current online DVD rental service. Blockbuster and Amazon are also seeking a piece of this competitive pie. Consideration here could be given to the telecommunications industry in Australia where Telstra through its Big Pond movies has entered into VOD as have Sony and Samsung.

The twenty-first century competitive landscape thus implies that traditional sources of competitive advantage – economies of scale and large advertising budgets – may not be as important in the future as they were in the past. The rapid and unpredictable technological change that characterises this new competitive landscape implies that managers must adopt new ways of thinking. The new competitive mind-set must value

flexibility, speed, innovation, integration and the challenges that evolve from constantly changing conditions.

A term often used to describe the new realities of competition is **hypercompetition**, a condition that results from the dynamics of strategic moves and countermoves among innovative, global firms: a condition of rapidly escalating competition that is based on price-quality positioning, efforts to create new know-how and achieve first-mover advantage, and battles to protect or to invade established product or geographic markets (discussed in more detail in Chapter 5).

The Global Economy

A **global economy** is one in which goods, services, people, skills and ideas move freely across geographic borders.

The emergence of this global economy results in a number of challenges and opportunities. For instance, Europe is now the world's largest single market (despite the difficulties of adapting to multiple national cultures and the lack of a single currency). The European Union has a gross domestic product (GDP) that is over 35% greater than that of the US, with 700 million potential customers.

Today, China is seen as an extremely competitive market in which local market-seeking MNCs (multinational corporations) fiercely compete against other MNCs and local low-cost producers. China has long been viewed as a low-cost producer of goods, but here's an interesting twist. China is now an exporter of local management talent. Procter & Gamble actually exports Chinese management talent; it has been dispatching more Chinese abroad than it has been importing expatriates to China. GE estimates that by 2024, China will be the world's greatest consumer of electricity and will also be the world's largest consumer and consumer-finance market. GE is making strategic decisions today, such as significant investing in China and India, that will enhance its competitive posture in both countries in the future.

Teaching Note: The relative competitiveness of nations can be found in the World Economic Forum's *Global Competitiveness Report*, which can be accessed for free on the Internet. It is useful to assemble these data into an overhead or PowerPoint slide and show it in class. Students find it interesting to see where their country stands relative to the others listed. Allow enough time for them to see these numbers and sort out what it all means.

The expectations of US firms for global business are changing rapidly.

- GE expects as much as 60 per cent of its revenue growth between 2005 and 2015 to be generated by competing in rapidly developing economies (e.g., China and India).

STRATEGIC FOCUS**Huawei Also Needs *Guanxi***

Guanxi, the Chinese term for 'building strong relationships in which each party feels obligated to help the other' is an important dimension to successful business in China. Huawei, the Chinese phone network manufacturer has also learned that *guanxi* helps it do business abroad. Huawei is an extremely innovative company and its innovations are helping it gain respect within the industry. While relationships with other firms have been strong because of past ties with the Chinese military, Huawei has had trouble convincing the US government that potential acquisitions in the US should be allowed.

Teaching Note: Huawei helps illustrate just how global business has become. Once the province of US firms, Huawei shows how foreign companies have become significant players in major US (and global) industries. Ask students if they believe the US government's actions to block Huawei's potential acquisitions with US firms are justified (and to explain their rationale). Ask them to identify other actions that Huawei might take to convince the US government that they do not pose a threat to national security.

In Australia, as reported in the AFR 24/3/2012, Huawei has been banned from bidding for supply contracts to the NBN. This is because of concerns about cyber attacks emanating from China. This is despite the fact that Huawei has high-level participation with national broadband networks in eight other countries including New Zealand and the UK. Ask students similar questions related to the Australian Government's actions.

The March of Globalisation

Globalisation is the increasing economic interdependence among countries as reflected in the flow of goods and services, financial capital and knowledge across country borders. This is illustrated by the following:

- Financial capital might be obtained in one national market and used to buy raw materials in another one.
- Manufacturing equipment bought from another market produces products sold in yet another market.
- Globalisation enhances the available range of opportunities for firms.

Global competition has increased performance standards in many dimensions, including quality, cost, productivity, product introduction time and operational efficiency. Moreover, these standards are not static; they are exacting, requiring continuous improvement from a firm and its employees. Thus, companies must improve their capabilities and individual workers need to sharpen their skills. In the twenty-first century competitive landscape, only firms that meet and perhaps exceed global standards are likely to earn strategic competitiveness.

Teaching Note: As a result of the new competitive landscape, firms of all sizes must re-think how they can achieve strategic competitiveness by positioning themselves to ask questions from a more global perspective to enable them to (at least) meet or exceed global standards:

- Where should value-adding activities be performed?
- Where are the most cost-effective markets for new capital?
- Can products designed in one market be successfully adapted for sale in others?
- How can we develop cooperative relationships or joint ventures with other firms that will enable us to capitalise on international growth opportunities?

Although globalisation seems an attractive strategy for competing in the current competitive landscape, there are risks as well. These include such factors as:

- The 'liability of foreignness' (i.e., the risk of competing internationally)
- Over-diversification beyond the firm's ability to successfully manage operations in multiple foreign markets

A point to emphasise: entry into international markets requires proper use of the strategic management process.

Though global markets are attractive strategic options for some companies, they are not the only source of strategic competitiveness. In fact, for most companies, even for those capable of competing successfully in global markets, it is critical to remain committed to and strategically competitive in the domestic market. And domestic markets can be testing grounds for possibly entering an international market at some point in the future.

Teaching Note: Indicate that the risks that often accompany internationalisation and strategies for minimising their impact on firms are discussed in more detail in Chapter 8.

Teaching Note: As a result of globalisation and the spread of technology, competition will become more intense. Some principles to consider include the following:

- Customers will continue to expect high levels of product quality at competitive prices.
- Global competition will continue to pressure companies to shorten product development-introduction time frames.
- Strategically competitive companies successfully leverage insights learned both in domestic and global markets, modifying them as necessary.
- Before a company can hope to achieve any measure of success in global markets, it must be strategically competitive in its domestic market.

Technology and Technological Changes

Three technological trends and conditions are significantly altering the nature of competition:

- Increasing rate of technological change and diffusion
- The information age
- Increasing knowledge intensity

Technologic Diffusion and Disruptive Technologies

Both the rate of change and the introduction of new technologies have increased greatly over the last 15 to 20 years.

A term that is used to describe rapid and consistent replacement of current technologies by new, information-intensive technologies is ***perpetual innovation***. This implies that innovation – discussed in more detail in Chapter 13 – must be continuous and carry a high priority for all organisations.

The shorter product life cycles that result from rapid diffusion of innovation often means that products may be replicated within very short time periods, placing a competitive premium on a firm's ability to rapidly introduce new products into the marketplace. In fact, speed-to-market may become the sole source of competitive advantage. In the computer industry during the early 1980s, hard disk drives would typically remain current for four to six years, after which a new and better product became available. By the late 1980s, the expected life had fallen to two to three years. By the 1990s, it was just six to nine months.

The rapid diffusion of innovation may have made patents a source of competitive advantage only in the pharmaceutical and chemical industries. Many firms do not file patent applications to safeguard (for at least a time) the technical knowledge that would be disclosed explicitly in a patent application.

Disruptive technologies (in line with the Schumpeterian notion of 'creative destruction') can destroy the value of existing technologies by replacing them with new ones. Current examples include the success of iPods, PDAs and WiFi.

The Information Age

Changes in information technology have made rapid access to information available to firms all over the world, regardless of size. Consider the rapid growth in the following technologies: personal computers (PCs), cellular phones, computers, personal digital assistants (PDAs), artificial intelligence, virtual reality and massive databases. These examples show how information is used differently as a result of new technologies. The ability to access and use information has become an important source of competitive advantage in almost every industry.

- There have been dramatic changes in information technology in recent years.
- The number of PCs was expected to grow to 278 million by 2010.

- The Internet provides an information-carrying infrastructure available to individuals and firms worldwide.

The ability to access a high level of relatively inexpensive information has created strategic opportunities for many information-intensive businesses. For example, retailers now can use the Internet to provide shopping to customers virtually anywhere.

STRATEGIC FOCUS

The Core of Apple: Technology and Innovation

Apple has been on a hot streak for over a decade. It is an exceptionally innovative company and its products have revolutionised multiple industries. Its brand is incredibly strong on a global scale and customers continue to purchase its products even though cheaper substitutes in the market abound. iPod, iTunes, iPhone and iPad have powered the company to the point that it had the second largest market capitalisation of all firms in the world in 2011.

Teaching Note: Apple illustrates the power of well-conceived and managed innovation. Over the past decade it has produced a number of breakthrough products that are in high demand. Ask students to compare some of Apple's products with those of competitors. What do they know about the products and how important is the strength of the Apple brand in shaping perceptions? With the passing of legendary founder and CEO Steve Jobs, ask students if they think that Apple will be able to continue current momentum into the future.

Increasing Knowledge Intensity

It is becoming increasingly apparent that knowledge – information, intelligence and expertise – is a critical organisational resource, and increasingly, a source of competitive advantage. As a result,

- Many companies are working to convert the accumulated knowledge of employees into a corporate asset
- Shareholder value is increasingly influenced by the value of a firm's intangible assets, such as knowledge

Note: Intangible assets are discussed more fully in Chapter 3.

Teaching Note: This means that to achieve competitive advantage in the information-intensive competitive landscape, firms must move beyond accessing information to exploiting information by:

- Capturing intelligence
- Transforming intelligence into usable knowledge
- Embedding it as organisational learning
- Diffusing it rapidly throughout the organisation

The implication of this discussion is that to achieve strategic competitiveness and earn above-average returns, firms must develop the ability to adapt rapidly to change or achieve strategic flexibility.

Strategic flexibility represents the set of capabilities – in all areas of their operations – that firms use to respond to the various demands and opportunities that are found in dynamic, uncertain environments. This implies that firms must develop certain capabilities, including the capacity to learn continuously, that will provide the firm with new skill sets. However, those working within firms to develop strategic flexibility should understand that the task is not an easy one, largely because of inertia that can build up over time. A firm's focus and past core competencies may actually slow change and strategic flexibility.

Teaching Note: Firms capable of rapidly and broadly applying what they learn achieve strategic flexibility and the resulting capacity to change in ways that will increase the probability of succeeding in uncertain, hypercompetitive environments. Some firms must change dramatically to remain competitive or return to competitiveness. How often are firms able to make this shift? Overall, does it take more effort to make small, periodic changes, or to wait and make more dramatic changes when these become necessary?

Two models describing key strategic inputs to a firm's strategic actions are discussed next: the Industrial Organisation (or externally focused) model and the Resource-Based (or internally focused) model.

3 Use the industrial organisation (I/O) model to explain how firms can earn above-average returns.

THE I/O MODEL OF ABOVE AVERAGE RETURNS

Teaching Note: The recommended teaching strategy for this section is to first discuss the assumptions underlying the I/O model. Then use *Figure 1.2* to introduce linkages in the I/O model and provide the background for an expanded discussion of the model in Chapter 2.

The I/O or Industrial Organisation model adopts an *external* perspective to explain that forces outside of the organisation represent the dominant influences on a firm's strategic actions. In other words, this model presumes that the characteristics of and conditions present in the external environment determine the appropriateness of strategies that are formulated and implemented in order for a firm to earn above-average returns. In short, the I/O model specifies that the choice of industries in which to compete has more influence on firm performance than the decisions made by managers inside their firm.

The I/O model is based on the following four assumptions:

1. The external environment – *the general, industry and competitive environments impose pressures and constraints on firms and determine strategies that will result in superior returns*. In other words, the external environment pressures the firm to adopt strategies to meet that pressure while simultaneously constraining or limiting the scope of strategies that might be appropriate and eventually successful.
2. Most firms competing in an industry or in an industry segment control similar sets of strategically relevant resources and thus pursue similar strategies. This assumption presumes that, given a similar availability of resources, most firms competing in a specific industry (or industry segment) have similar capabilities and thus follow strategies that are similar. In other words, there are few significant differences among firms in an industry.
3. Resources used to implement strategies are highly mobile across firms. Significant differences in strategically relevant resources among firms in an industry tend to disappear because of resource mobility. Thus, any resource differences soon disappear as they are observed and acquired or learned by other firms in the industry.
4. Organisational decision-makers are assumed to be rational and committed to acting only in the best interests of the firm. The implication of this assumption is that organisational decision-makers will consistently exhibit profit-maximising behaviours.

According to the I/O model, which was a dominant paradigm from the 1960s through the 1980s, firms must pay careful attention to the structured characteristics of the industry in which they choose to compete, searching for one that is the most attractive to the firm, given the firm's strategically relevant resources. Then, the firm must be able to successfully implement strategies required by the industry's characteristics to be able to increase their level of competitiveness. The *five forces model* is an analytical tool used to address and describe these industry characteristics.

FIGURE 1.2

The I/O Model of Above-Average Returns

Based on its four underlying assumptions, the I/O model prescribes a five-step process for firms to achieve above-average returns:

1. Study the external environment – general, industry and competitive – to determine the characteristics of the external environment that will both determine and constrain the firm's strategic alternatives.
2. Select an industry (or industries) with a high potential for returns based on the structural characteristics of the industry. A model for assessing these characteristics, the *Five Forces Model of Competition*, is discussed in Chapter 2.

3. Based on the characteristics of the industry in which the firm chooses to compete, strategies that are linked with above-average returns should be selected. A model or framework that can be used to assess the requirements and risks of these strategies (the *generic strategies* called *cost leadership & differentiation*) are discussed in detail in Chapter 4.
4. Acquire or develop the critical resources – skills and assets – needed to successfully implement the strategy that has been selected. A process for scrutinising the internal environment to identify the presence or absence of critical skills is discussed in Chapter 3. Skill-enhancement strategies, including training and development, are discussed in Chapter 11.
5. The I/O model indicates that above-average returns will accrue to firms that successfully implement relevant strategic actions that enable the firm to leverage its strengths (skills and resources) to meet the demands or pressures and constraints of the industry in which it has elected to compete. The implementation process is described in Chapters 10 through 13.

The I/O model has been supported by research indicating:

- 20% of firm profitability can be explained by industry characteristics
- 36% of firm profitability can be attributed to firm characteristics and the actions taken by the firm
- Overall, this indicates a reciprocal relationship – or even an interrelationship – between industry characteristics (attractiveness) and firm strategies that result in firm performance

4 Use the resource-based model to explain how firms can earn above average-returns.

THE RESOURCE-BASED MODEL OF ABOVE-AVERAGE RETURNS

Teaching Note: The recommended teaching strategy for this section is similar to that suggested for the I/O model. First explain the assumptions of the resource-based model. Then use *Figure 1.3* to introduce linkages in the resource-based model and provide the background for an expanded discussion of the model in Chapter 3.

The resource-based model adopts an *internal* perspective to explain how a firm's unique bundle or collection of internal resources and capabilities represent the foundation on which value-creating strategies should be built.

Resources are inputs into a firm's production process, such as capital equipment, individual employee's skills, patents, brand names, finance and talented managers. These resources can be tangible or intangible.

Capabilities are the capacity for a set of resources to perform – integratively or in combination – a task or activity.

Teaching Note: Thus, according to the resource-based model, a firm's resources and capabilities – found in its internal environment – are more critical to determining the appropriateness of strategic actions than are the conditions and characteristics of the external environment. So, strategies should be selected that enable the firm to best exploit its core competencies, relative to opportunities in the external environment. One example of this is the experience of Amazon that used its capabilities to market and distribute books using the Internet successfully to capture a 20-month first-mover advantage in this new marketplace. However, Amazon's capabilities may be imitable. In fact, many experts note the potential of Dymocks to use its extensive resources to be a formidable competitor.

Core competencies are resources and capabilities that serve as a source of competitive advantage for a firm. Often related to functional skills (e.g., marketing at Philip Morris), core competencies – when developed, nurtured and applied throughout a firm – may result in strategic competitiveness.

FIGURE 1.3

The Resource-Based Model of Above-Average Returns

The resource-based model of above-average returns is grounded in the uniqueness of a firm's internal resources and capabilities. The five-step model describes the linkages between resource identification and strategy selection that will lead to above-average returns.

1. Firms should identify their internal **resources** and assess their strengths and weaknesses. The strengths and weaknesses of firm resources should be assessed relative to competitors.
2. Firms should identify the set of resources that provide the firm with **capabilities** that are unique to the firm, relative to its competitors. The firm should identify those capabilities that enable the firm to perform a task or activity better than its competitors.
3. Firms should determine the potential for their unique sets of resources and capabilities to outperform rivals in terms of returns. Determine how a firm's resources and capabilities can be used to gain competitive advantage.
4. Locate and compete in an attractive industry. Determine the industry that provides the best fit between the characteristics of the industry and the firm's resources and capabilities.

5. To attain a sustainable competitive advantage and earn above-average returns, firms should formulate and implement strategies that enable them to exploit their resources and capabilities to take advantage of opportunities in the external environment better than their competitors.
-

Resources and capabilities can lead to a competitive advantage when they are valuable, rare, costly to imitate and non-substitutable.

- Resources are *valuable* when they support taking advantage of opportunities or neutralising external threats.
- Resources are *rare* when possessed by few, if any, competitors.
- Resources are *costly to imitate* when other firms cannot obtain them inexpensively (relative to other firms).
- Resources are *non-substitutable* when they have no structural equivalents.

5 Describe vision and mission and discuss their value.

VISION AND MISSION

Teaching Note: Refer students to *Figure 1.1* that indicates the link or relationship between identifying a firm's internal resources and capabilities and the conditions and characteristics of the external environment with the development of the firm's vision and mission.

Vision

Vision is a picture of what the firm wants to be, and in broad terms, what it wants to ultimately achieve. Vision is 'big picture' thinking with passion that helps people *feel* what they are supposed to be doing.

Vision statements:

- Reflect a firm's values and aspirations
- Are intended to capture the heart and mind of each employee (and hopefully, many of its other stakeholders)
- Tend to be enduring, whereas its mission can change in light of changing environmental conditions
- Tend to be relatively short and concise, easily remembered

Examples of vision statements:

- *Our vision is to be the world's best quick service restaurant. (McDonald's)*
- *Our mission is to be recognised by our customers as the leader in applications engineering. We always focus on the activities customers desire; we are highly*

motivated and strive to advance our technical knowledge in the areas of material, part design, and fabrication technology. (LNP, a GE Plastics Company)

- *We must be a great company with great people. (LG Electronics)*

The CEO is responsible for working with others to form the firm's vision. However, experience shows that the most effective vision statement results when the CEO involves a host of people to develop it.

A vision statement should be clearly tied to the conditions in the firm's external and internal environments and it must be achievable. Moreover, the decisions and actions of those involved with developing the vision must be consistent with that vision.

Mission

A firm's **mission** is an externally focused application of its vision that states the firm's unique purpose and the scope of its operations in product and market terms.

As with the vision, the final responsibility for forming the firm's mission rests with the CEO, though the CEO and other top-level managers tend to involve a larger number of people in forming the mission. This is because middle- and first-level managers and other employees have more direct contact with customers and their markets.

A firm's vision and mission must provide the guidance that enables the firm to achieve the desired strategic outcomes – strategic competitiveness and above-average returns – illustrated in *Figure 1.1* that enable the firm to satisfy the demands of those parties having an interest in the firm's success: organisational stakeholders.

Earning above-average returns often is not mentioned in mission statements. The reasons for this are that all firms want to earn above-average returns and that desired financial outcomes result from properly serving certain customers while trying to achieve the firm's intended future. In fact, research has shown that having an effectively formed vision and mission has a positive effect on performance (growth in sales, profits, employment and net worth).

6 Define stakeholders and describe their ability to influence organisations.

STAKEHOLDERS

Stakeholders are the individuals and groups who can affect and are affected by the strategic outcomes achieved and who have enforceable claims on a firm's performance.

Classification of Stakeholders

The stakeholder concept reflects that individuals and groups have a 'stake' in the strategic outcomes of the firm because they can be either positively or negatively affected by those outcomes and because achieving the strategic outcomes may be dependent on the support or active participation of certain stakeholder groups.

Figure Note: Students can use *Figure 1.4* to visualise the four stakeholder groups.

FIGURE 1.4
The Four Stakeholder Groups

Figure 1.4 provides a definition of a stakeholder and illustrates the four general classifications and members of each stakeholder group:

- Capital market stakeholders
- Product market stakeholders
- Organisational stakeholders
- The natural environment

Note: Students can use *Figure 1.4* while you discuss the challenges of meeting conflicting stakeholder expectations.

Capital market stakeholders
Product market stakeholders
Organisational stakeholders
The natural environment

Teaching Note: The following table was developed from the text's presentation (and more) to assist you in organising a discussion of each stakeholder group's expectations or demands, potential conflicts and stakeholder management strategies.

Stakeholder Groups, Membership and Primary Expectation or Demand

<i>Stakeholder group</i>	<i>Membership</i>	<i>Primary expectation/demand</i>
Capital market	Shareholders	Wealth enhancement
	Lenders	Wealth preservation
Product market	Customers	Product reliability at lowest possible price
	Suppliers	Receive highest sustainable prices
	Host communities	Long-term employment, tax revenues, minimum use of public support services
Organisational	Unions	Ideal working conditions and job security for membership
	Employees	Secure, dynamic, stimulating and rewarding work environment

Teaching Note: From reviewing the primary expectations or demands of each stakeholder group, it becomes obvious that a potential for conflict exists. For instance, shareholders generally invest for wealth-maximisation purposes and

are therefore interested in a firm's maximising its return on investment or ROI. However, if a firm increases its ROI by making short-term decisions, the firm can negatively affect employee or customer stakeholders.

If the firm is strategically competitive and earns above-average returns, it can afford to simultaneously satisfy all stakeholders. When earning average or below-average returns, tradeoffs must be made. At the level of average returns, firms must at least minimally satisfy all stakeholders. When returns are below average, some stakeholders can be minimally satisfied, while others may be dissatisfied.

For example, reducing the level of research and development expenditures (to increase short-term profits) enables the firm to pay out the additional short-term profits to shareholders as dividends. However, if reducing R&D expenditures results in a decline in the long-term strategic competitiveness of the firm's products or services, it is possible that employees will not enjoy a secure or rewarding career environment (which violates a primary union expectation or demand for job security for its membership). At the same time, customers may be offered products that are less reliable at unattractive prices, relative to those offered by firms that did not reduce R&D expenditures.

Thus, the stakeholder management process may involve a series of tradeoffs that is dependent on the extent to which the firm is dependent on the support of each affected stakeholder and the firm's ability to earn above-average returns.

Teaching Note: Stakeholder management has introduced some interesting notions into business practice. For example, business schools typically teach that there are three main stakeholder groups (owners, customers and employees) and that they should be tended to in that order. That is, it is important to begin with the idea that the primary purpose of the firm is to maximise shareholder wealth (i.e., tend to the interests of the owners first). Then it is common to introduce notions such as, 'The customer is always right.' This suggests that customer interests are to be tended to next. Finally, we get around to looking to the needs of employees, if resources make that possible. This is the standard approach, but some firms have turned this idea on its head. For example, Southwest Airlines has been extremely successful by taking great efforts to select the right employees and treat them well, which then spills over into appropriate treatment of the customer. As you might guess, the company assumes that these emphases will naturally lead to positive outcomes for stockholders as well (as has been the case). This issue can lead to interesting discussions with students about their thoughts on the topic. The experience at Southwest airlines can be juxtaposed with low-cost airlines in the Asia pacific region e.g. Virgin Australia, Jetstar, Air Asia and Tiger.

7 Describe the work of strategic leaders.

STRATEGIC LEADERS

Teaching Note: One way of covering this section is through a series of questions and answers as presented in the following format.

Who are strategic leaders?

Although it depends on the size of the organisation, all organisations have a CEO or top manager and this individual is the *primary* organisational strategist in every organisation. Small organisations may have a single strategist: the CEO or owner. Large organisations may have few or several top-level managers, executives, or a top management team. All of these individuals are organisational strategists.

What are the responsibilities of strategic leaders?

Top managers play decisive roles in firms' efforts to achieve their desired strategic outcomes. As organisational strategists, top managers are responsible for deciding how resources will be developed or acquired, at what cost and how they will be used or allocated throughout the organisation. Strategists also must consider the risks of actions under consideration, along with the firm's vision and managers' strategic orientations.

Organisational strategists also are responsible for determining *how* the organisation does business. This responsibility is reflected in the **organisational culture**, which refers to the complex set of ideologies, symbols and core values shared throughout the firm and that influences the way it conducts business. The organisation's culture is the social energy that drives – or fails to drive – the organisation.

The Work of Effective Strategic Leaders

Though it seems simplistic, performing their role effectively requires strategists to work hard, perform thorough analyses of available information, be brutally honest, desire high performance, exercise common sense, think clearly and ask questions and listen. In addition, strategic leaders must be able to 'think seriously and deeply ... about the purposes of the organisations they head or functions they perform, about the strategies, tactics, technologies, systems and people necessary to attain these purposes and about the important questions that always need to be asked.' Additionally, effective strategic leaders work to set an ethical tone in their firms.

Strategists work long hours and face ambiguous decision situations, but they also have opportunities to dream and act in concert with a compelling vision that motivates others in creating competitive advantage.

Predicting Outcomes of Strategic Decisions: Profit Pools

Top-level managers try to predict the outcomes of their strategic decisions before they are implemented, but this is sometimes very difficult to do. Those firms that do a better job of anticipating the outcomes of strategic moves will obviously be in a better position to succeed. One way to do this is by mapping out the profit pools of an industry. **Profit pools** are the total profits earned in an industry at all points along the value chain. Four steps are involved:

1. Define the pool's boundaries
2. Estimate the pool's overall size
3. Estimate the size of the value-chain activity in the pool
4. Reconcile the calculations

8 Explain the strategic management process.

THE STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Teaching Note: The final section of this chapter reviews *Figure 1.1* (The Strategic Management Process), providing both an outline of the process and the framework for the next 12 chapters. Thus, students should refer back to *Figure 1.1* as you present the material to come next.

Chapters 2 and 3 provide more detail regarding the strategic inputs to the strategic management process: assessments of the firm's external and internal environments that must be performed so that sufficient knowledge is developed regarding external opportunities and internal capabilities. This enables the development of the firm's vision and mission.

Chapters 4 through 9 discuss the strategy formulation stage of the process. Topics covered include:

- Deciding on business-level strategy, or how to compete in a given business (Chapter 4)
- Understanding competitive dynamics, in that strategies are not formulated and implemented in isolation but require understanding and responding to competitors' actions (Chapter 5)
- Setting corporate-level strategy, or deciding in which industries or businesses the firm will compete, how resources will be allocated, and how the different business units will be managed (Chapter 6)
- The acquisition of business units and the restructuring of the firm's portfolio of businesses (Chapter 7)
- Selecting appropriate international strategies that are consistent with the firm's resources, capabilities and core competencies and external opportunities (Chapter 8)
- Developing cooperative strategies with other firms to gain competitive advantage (Chapter 9)

The final section of the text, Chapters 10–13, examines actions necessary to effectively implement strategies:

- Methods for governing to ensure satisfaction of stakeholder demands and attainment of strategic outcomes (Chapter 10)
- Structures that are used and actions taken to control a firm's operations (Chapter 11)
- Patterns of strategic leadership that are most appropriate given the competitive environment (Chapter 12)
- Linkages among corporate entrepreneurship, innovation and strategic competitiveness (Chapter 13)

Teaching Note: Students should realise that none of the chapters stands alone, just as no single step or facet of the strategic management process stands alone. If the strategic management process is to result in a firm being strategically competitive and earning above-average returns, all facets of the process must be treated as both interdependent and interrelated.

– ANSWERS TO REVIEW QUESTIONS

1. What are strategic competitiveness, strategy, competitive advantage, above-average returns and the strategic management process?

Strategic competitiveness is achieved when a firm successfully formulates and implements a value-creating strategy.

A **strategy** is an integrated and coordinated set of commitments and actions designed to exploit core competencies and gain a competitive advantage.

A **competitive advantage** is achieved when a firm's current and potential competitors either are not able to simultaneously formulate and implement its value-creating strategy, are unable to duplicate the benefits of the strategy, or find the strategy too costly to imitate.

Above-average returns are returns that are in excess of what an investor expects to earn from other investments with a similar level or amount of risk.

The **strategic management process** (see *Figure 1.1*) is the full set of commitments, decisions, and actions required for a firm to achieve strategic competitiveness and earn above-average returns.

2. What are the characteristics of the current competitive landscape? What two factors are the primary drivers of this landscape?

In the current competitive landscape, the nature of competition has changed. As a result, managers making strategic decisions must adopt a new mind-set that is global in orientation. Firms must learn to compete in highly chaotic environments that produce disorder and a great deal of uncertainty.

The two primary factors that have created the current competitive landscape are *globalisation of industries and markets* and *rapid and significant technological change*. The implication for business firms is that to be successful, they must be able to meet or exceed global performance standards (in terms of such factors as quality, price, product features, speed to market) and be able to keep up with both the rapid pace of technological change as well as the rapid diffusion of innovation.

3. According to the I/O model, what should a firm do to earn above-average returns?

The I/O model suggests that conditions and characteristics of the external environment (the general, industry and competitive environments) are the primary inputs to and determinants of strategies that firms should formulate and implement to earn above-average returns. Assumptions of the I/O model are that: (1) the external environment imposes pressures and constraints that determine which strategies will result in superior profitability, (2) most firms competing in an industry (or industry segment) control similar strategically relevant resources and pursue similar strategies in light of resource similarity, (3) resources used to implement strategies are highly mobile across firms, and (4) decision makers are assumed to be rational and committed to acting in the firm's best interests.

The I/O model thus challenges firms to seek out the industry (or industry segment) with the greatest profit potential and then learn how to use their resources to implement value-creating strategies given the structural characteristics of the industry.

4. What does the resource-based model suggest a firm should do to earn above-average returns?

The resource-based model assumes that each firm is a collection of unique resources and capabilities that provides the basis for its strategy and is the primary source of its profitability. It also assumes that over time, firms acquire different resources and develop unique capabilities. Thus, all firms competing within an industry (or industry segment) may not possess the same strategically relevant resources and capabilities. In addition, resources may not be highly mobile across firms.

Thus, the resource-based model challenges firms to formulate and implement strategies that allow the firm to best exploit its core competencies – capabilities that are valuable, rare, costly to imitate and non-substitutable – relative to opportunities in the external environment. Resources and capabilities that meet the criteria of core competencies then serve as the basis of a firm's sustainable competitive advantage, enabling it to achieve strategic competitiveness and earn above-average returns.

5. What are vision and mission? What is their value for the strategic management process?

Vision is a picture of what the firm wants to be and in broad terms, what it wants to

ultimately achieve. Vision is 'big picture' thinking with passion that helps people *feel* what they are supposed to be doing.

Strategic mission is externally focused and represents a statement of a firm's unique purpose and the scope of its operations in product and market terms. It provides general descriptions of the products a firm intends to produce and the markets it will serve using its internally based core competencies.

The differences between vision and mission are important because of their different focuses. However, they are both highly interdependent and add value to the strategic management process. The externally focused mission provides a sense of purpose for the firm by indicating the products to be provided to specific markets, while the internally set vision indicates what ultimately will be achieved. In other words, taken together, the vision and mission will provide a firm's managers with the insights needed to effectively formulate and implement the firm's strategies.

6. What are stakeholders? How do the three primary stakeholder groups influence organisations?

Stakeholders are individuals and groups who can affect and are affected by strategic outcomes achieved and who have enforceable claims on a firm's performance. In other words, stakeholders have a stake (or a vested interest) in the actions of the firm. Stakeholders can influence organisations because they have the ability to withhold participation that is essential to a firm's survival, competitiveness and profitability. The three primary stakeholder groups are: (1) capital market stakeholders, e.g., shareholders, lenders, (2) product market stakeholders, e.g., customers, suppliers, host communities, unions and (3) organisational stakeholders, e.g., employees, managers and others.

There are many ways that stakeholders can influence organisations. For example, dissatisfied lenders can impose stricter covenants on subsequent borrowing of capital. Dissatisfied stockholders can reflect this sentiment through several means, including selling their stock (which can have a negative effect on its price). Dissatisfied employees can organise for collective bargaining. Dissatisfied community groups could express their disapproval by boycotting the firm's goods. Stakeholder groups each have ways of bringing their influence to bear on the firm.

7. How would you describe the work of strategic leaders?

Strategic leaders are people located in different parts of the firm using the strategic management process to help the firm reach its vision and mission. Regardless of their location in the firm, successful strategic leaders are decisive and committed to nurturing those around them and are committed to helping the firm create value for customers and returns for shareholders and other stakeholders.

Strategic leaders can be described as hard working, thorough, honest, questioning, visionary, persuasive, analytical and decision makers. They also have a penchant for

wanting the firm and its people to accomplish more.

The work of strategists includes scanning the environment – both internally and externally – to seek out information that will assist the firm in achieving its mission and satisfying its vision. Strategists would think about how the resources and capabilities of the firm could be nurtured and exploited to develop core competencies that would enable the firm to exploit environmental opportunities, achieve strategic competitiveness and attain a competitive advantage that results in above-average returns.

8. What are the elements of the strategic management process? How are they interrelated?

The parts of the strategic management process (illustrated in *Figure 1.1*) are strategic inputs, strategic actions and strategic outcomes. *Strategic inputs* are represented by the firm's vision and mission that result from the assessment of the firm's resources, capabilities and competencies and conditions in the external environment. These strategic inputs – vision and mission – drive the firm's *strategic actions* or the formulation and implementation of strategy. The strategic outcomes of successfully formulating and implementing value-creating strategies are *strategic competitiveness* and *above-average returns*. A feedback loop links strategic outcomes with strategic inputs.

— INSTRUCTOR'S NOTES FOR EXPERIENTIAL EXERCISES

EXERCISE 1: Stakeholder Analysis, Strategic Planning and Strategic Leadership

This exercise is meant to piece together many of the elements that are covered in Chapter 1 such as stakeholders, the strategic management process and strategic leadership. The exercise brings this all together by asking teams of students to identify a not-for-profit firm. This forum is used because many times not-for-profits face unique stakeholder challenges that are interesting to uncover. Additionally most not-for-profits put their strategic plans in easy to find places on their websites. The key for the student teams is to identify the stakeholders and relevant issues that may face them if the firm implements the items in its strategic plan.

You may also make this an individual assignment rather than teamwork. If so desired, the exercise would fit well in either scenario.

Each team should present its findings as regards the exercise. The instructor should pay particular attention to the team's attentiveness to its stakeholders.

As the team presents, have the students listening participate by:

1. Identifying if there are any stakeholders that seem to be missing.
2. Wrapping a discussion around whether the stakeholders the team identifies

would support or not support the strategic action identified.

3. Wrapping a discussion around the strategic leaders' needed actions to gain stakeholder support, if that support would be critical to the strategic action's successful implementation.

The instructor can also create an interesting discussion about which stakeholders are most important and whether each stakeholder needs to be considered when strategic actions are contemplated.

EXERCISE 2: Crafting a Personal Vision and Mission Statement

This exercise is meant to be reflective for your students as well as to allow them to gain an appreciation for the inherent difficulty in crafting vision and mission statements for organisations. It should also highlight the importance of these statements for forward-looking companies.

You may want to first ask volunteers about the last place they worked. Next find out if anyone knew either the vision or mission statement of the place they worked. What does that mean about the company either way? For those who knew, this suggests a firm that places a lot of value on their statements; for those that don't the opposite.

Next ask for volunteers to talk about their vision and mission. Ask some questions to get the students talking and sharing:

- How difficult was the process, and was it rewarding?
- Assuming the student maintained the process, how long before the vision and mission would be in need of refinement? This allows you to bring in the concept of environmental and industrial environments (following along in the next few chapters) and how changes in those areas require firms to adapt. However, should values or core principles adapt in the midst of environmental shifts?
- Have students think about the difference between corporate and personal visions and missions. For example:
 - Focus of one person vs. many in the organisation.
 - The concerns of multiple stakeholders with conflicting interests.
 - The ease of change and adaptation to environmental shifts. It is much more difficult to shift an organisation of many vs. an organisation of one.
- Each student should submit their personal statements so that the instructor is able to provide feedback. This should be constructive so that students can see the power in personal statements.

INSTRUCTOR'S NOTES FOR VIDEO EXERCISES

Title: BRAZIL: AN EMERGING ECONOMY WITH STRATEGIC COMPETITIVENESS

RT : 7:35

Topic Key: Strategic Competitiveness, Strategy, Hypercompetition, Global Economy, Resources, Capabilities, Core Competencies, Stakeholders, Strategic Leaders

With stagnant economies across the world, Brazil's economy is growing at 7% – 3 times faster than America. Brazil is a huge country, slightly larger than the continental US with vast expanses of arable farmland, an abundance of natural resources and 14% of the world's fresh water. With the world's greenest economy, 80% of Brazil's electricity comes from hydropower and it has the most sophisticated biofuel industry in the world. Brazil is already the world's largest producer of iron ore and leading exporter of beef, chicken, orange juice, sugar, coffee and tobacco. China has replaced the US as Brazil's leading trade partner. Brazilians contend that their size can match China's dragon-like appetite and they need Brazil to fulfill the Chinese needs. Batista, with Chinese investment and interests in mining, transportation, oil and gas is building a huge super port complex north of Rio that will accommodate the world's largest tankers and speed delivery of iron ore and other resources to Asia. Commodities are not the only things driving the Brazilian boom – Brazil has a substantial manufacturing base and a large auto industry. The world's third largest aircraft manufacturer also resides in Brazil. Batista says that the one thing Brazil needs more of is skilled labour – more engineers, which he now must import from America. He indicates that Brazil is walking into a phase of almost full employment with the creation of 1.5 million jobs in one year.

Brazil has seen periods of prosperity before – only to have the bubbles burst. Brazil spent billions in the 50s and 60s moving its capital to Savannah where it built the futuristic city Brasilia. Brazil then borrowed billions more to develop the country's interior. Corruption then led to a financial collapse – 2000% inflation and the largest financial rescue package in the history of the international monetary fund. Lula, as President of Brazil, a metal worker with a Grade 4 education, receives much credit for turning the country around. Lula, in an interview, indicates that Brazil was a capitalist country without capital. He asserts that Brazil needed a metal worker with socialist views to bring more money for banks, more sales for car companies and more money to the workers. When asked how, Lula says the success of an elected official is in the art of doing what is obvious – what everyone knows needs to be done but some insist on doing differently. Lula recognised the separation between the rich and poor of Brazil. He gave the poor families a monthly stipend of \$115 dollars just for sending their kids to school and taking them to the doctor. Such an infusion of cash helped lift 21 million people out of poverty and into the lower middle class, which created an untapped market of first-time buyers for refrigerators and cars. Lula also encouraged growth and development by businesses but created tight banking regulations to maintain conservative fiscal policies.

Historically, Brazil had ignored the festering slums overlooking Rio, which was a staging area for street crimes and drug gangs, but now gets the attention of military police. Brazil has massive problems with infrastructure – traffic and roads. Ninety per cent of the roads remain unpaved and little public transportation exists in the cities. Delays in building and renovation exist for the upcoming 2014 World Cup. Lula, in the interview, indicates that Brazil will organise the most extraordinary World Cup ever. He says that as he leaves office he told his people that they are not second class citizens – we can get things done and we can believe in ourselves. People have started to believe – meaning that he expects his momentum to continue for the country.

Also check out:

<http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2011/07/31/60minutes/main20073776.shtml>

Suggested Discussion Questions and Answers

- How is Brazil a strategic competitor?
 - Strategic competitiveness is achieved when a firm successfully formulates and implements a value-creating strategy.
 - Strategic competitor: Brazil, after virtual collapse, has become entrepreneurial and market oriented, and has used its competencies for innovation and service. Brazil formulated and implemented a value-creating strategy consisting of: (1) utilising its natural resources to create hydropower and a biofuel industry, (2) increasing production and exports of commodities and manufactured components such as autos and aircrafts, (3) increasing trade opportunities through the building of port complexes and (4) using fused resources to raise the standard of living among the country's poor. Further, Brazil has built on its external and internal resources, created a mission, chosen a strategy and implemented it through entrepreneurial leadership that has produced above-average returns of a growth of 7%.
- What is Brazil's strategy?
 - A strategy is an integrated and coordinated set of commitments and actions designed to exploit core competencies and gain a competitive advantage. A firm has competitive advantage when it implements a strategy that creates superior value for customers and competitors are unable to duplicate or find too costly to try to imitate.
 - Strategy: Growth and Development: Growing at 7%
- Is Brazil a hypercompetitor?
 - Hypercompetition results from the dynamics of strategic manoeuvring among global and innovative combatants. It is a condition of rapidly escalating competition based on price-quality positioning, competition to create new know-how and establish first-mover advantage, and competition to protect or invade established product or geographic markets. The emergence of a global economy and technology,

specifically rapid technological change are two primary drivers of hypercompetitive environments.

- Hypercompetitor: The world's greenest economy, most sophisticated biofuel industry in the world, largest producer of iron ore, leading exporter of beef, chicken, orange juice, sugar, coffee and tobacco, third largest aircraft manufacturer, and world's largest tankers and delivery speed of iron ore and other resources to Asia.
- What impact does Brazil have in the global economy?
 - Impact in the global economy:
 - The Brazilian economy is growing at a rate of 7% with vast expanses of farmland, an abundance of natural resources, and 14% of the world's fresh water.
 - As the world's greenest economy, 80% of Brazil's electricity comes from hydropower and it has the most sophisticated biofuel industry in the world.
 - Brazil is already the world's largest producer of iron ore and leading exporter of beef, chicken, orange juice, sugar, coffee and tobacco. China has replaced the US as Brazil's leading trade partner.
 - Batista, with Chinese investment, and with interests in mining, transportation, oil and gas is building a huge super port complex north of Rio that will accommodate the world's largest tankers and speed delivery of iron ore and other resources to Asia.
 - Brazil has a substantial manufacturing base, a large auto industry, and is the world's third largest aircraft manufacturer.
- What resources, capabilities and core competencies does Brazil have?
 - Resources are inputs into a firm's production process, such as capital equipment, the skills of individual employees, patents, finances and talented managers. A capability is the capacity for a set of resources to perform a task or an activity in an integrative manner. Core competencies are resources and capabilities that serve as a source of competitive advantage for a firm over its rivals. When resources and capabilities become, rare, valuable, costly to imitate and non-substitutable they become core competencies.
 - Resources: Abundance of natural resources including farmland, iron ore and 14% of the world's fresh water. Some evidence exists of oil.
 - Capabilities: Ability to produce hydropower and biofuels, ability to export and distribute resources to top trade partners, ability to manufacture aircraft and autos and to mine for iron ore
 - Core competencies: manufacturing/production, distribution/transportation and trade, research and development through green technology, biofuel development and mining

- Identify and explain the stakeholders associated with Brazil's thriving economy.
 - Stakeholders are those who can affect, and are affected by, a firm's strategic outcomes
 - Stakeholders:
 - Brazilian populations: will be affected by increases in standards of living/changes in social class, increased goods produced and exported, increased economic opportunities (employment)
 - Global populations: will be affected by quality of goods produced and exported from Brazil
 - Trading partners: will receive greater number of products and opportunities for exchange and appropriate supply to meet population satisfaction
 - Brazilian government: higher approval ratings
 - Industry developers: lucrative opportunities to reside and do business in Brazil
 - Brazilian industries: increased demand for production and manufacturing resources
- Describe Lula as a strategic leader and discuss what strategies you think he should employ to handle Brazil's latest issues.
 - Strategic leaders are people located in different areas and levels of the firm using the strategic management process to select strategic actions that help the firm achieve its vision and fulfil its mission. Successful strategic leaders are decisive, committed to nurturing those around them, and are committed to helping the firm create value for all stakeholder groups.
 - Lula: With a 4th grade education and metal working expertise, encouraged his people beyond second class citizenship, providing a confidence and belief of accomplishment. He achieved his strategy of growth and development through allowing all stakeholders to prosper in his administration. There is no evidence in the video that he followed the strategic management process.
 - Strategies: These are just some examples. Instructor and student discussion can expand the list.
 - Lack of skilled labour: Build on the strategy used for raising the social class by offering incentives for increased training.
 - Slum violence: Offer incentives for slum building and home ownership.
 - Poor infrastructure: Infuse the trained into jobs that will build the infrastructure. Provide opportunities for expanding resources to be included in building infrastructure components. Use auto manufacturing industry to improve public transportation.
 - Delays in building and renovation: Infuse the trained into apprenticeships to work on building and renovation.

— ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND EXERCISES

The following questions and exercises can be presented for in-class discussion or assigned as homework.

Application Discussion Questions

1. Business success is often tied to effectively managed strategies. Using the Internet, study Starbucks' current performance. Based on analysis, do you judge Starbucks to be a success? Why or why not?
2. Choose several firms in your local community with which you are familiar. Describe the twenty-first century competitive landscape to them, and ask for their feedback about how they anticipate that the landscape will affect their operations during the next five years.
3. Select an organisation (e.g., school, club or church) that is important to you. Who are the organisation's stakeholders? What degree of influence do you believe each has over the organisation and why?
4. Are you a stakeholder at your university or college? If so, of what stakeholder group or groups are you a part?
5. Think of an industry in which you want to work. In your opinion, which of the three primary stakeholder groups is the most powerful in that industry today? Why? Which do you expect to be the most powerful group in five years? Why?
6. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? 'I think managers have little responsibility for the failure of business firms.' Justify your view.
7. Do vision and mission have any meaning in your personal life? If so, describe it. Are your current actions being guided by a vision and mission? If not, why not?

Ethics Questions

1. Can a firm achieve a competitive advantage and, thereby, strategic competitiveness without acting ethically? Explain.
2. What are a firm's ethical responsibilities if it earns above-average returns?
3. What are some of the critical ethical challenges to firms competing in the global economy?
4. How should ethical considerations be included in analyses of a firm's internal and external environments?
5. Can ethical issues be integrated into a firm's vision and mission? Explain.
6. What is the relationship between ethics and stakeholders?
7. What is the importance of ethics for organisational strategists?

Internet Exercise

Internet-based services depend heavily on continuous change and rapid strategic decision making. Companies such as Amazon.com that rely on Internet users for their customer base have demonstrated a distinct competitive advantage in serving their customers well. Barnes & Noble (<http://www.barnesandnoble.com>) is one of

Amazon.com's competitors in the on-line book and music markets. How does this Web-based expansion affect the stakeholders of each? How does the entrance of these profitable retailers into the online market affect Amazon.com's competitive advantage?

*e-project: Using other Web resources, such as current business press and financial reports, discuss Amazon.com's continued growth and limited profits.