

SOLUTIONS TO CHAPTER 2

Background

2.1 The DFT of a sequence $x(n)$ of length N may be expressed in matrix form as follows

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [x(0), x(1), \dots, x(N-1)]^T$ is a vector containing the signal values and \mathbf{X} is a vector containing the DFT coefficients $X(k)$,

- (a) Find the matrix \mathbf{W} .
- (b) What properties does the matrix \mathbf{W} have?
- (c) What is the inverse of \mathbf{W} ?

Solution

- (a) The DFT of a sequence $x(n)$ of length N is

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} nk} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{nk}$$

where $W_N \equiv e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N}}$. If we define

$$\mathbf{w}_k^H = [1, W_N^k, W_N^{2k}, \dots, W_N^{k(N-1)}]$$

then $X(k)$ is the inner product

$$X(k) = \mathbf{w}_k^H \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

Arranging the DFT coefficients in a vector we have,

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X(0) \\ X(1) \\ \vdots \\ X(N-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_0^H \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w}_1^H \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N-1}^H \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$$

where

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_0^H \\ \mathbf{w}_1^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N-1}^H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & W_N & W_N^2 & \dots & W_N^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & W_N^{N-1} & W_N^{2(N-1)} & \dots & W_N^{(N-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) The matrix \mathbf{W} is *symmetric* and nonsingular. In addition, due to the orthogonality of the complex exponentials,

$$\mathbf{w}_k^H \cdot \mathbf{w}_l = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} n(k-l)} = \begin{cases} N & ; \quad k = l \\ 0 & ; \quad k \neq l \end{cases}$$

it follows that \mathbf{W} is *orthogonal*.

- (c) Due to the orthogonality of \mathbf{W} , the inverse is

$$\mathbf{W}^{-1} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{W}^H$$

2.2 Prove or disprove each of the following statements

- (a) The product of two upper triangular matrices is upper triangular.
- (b) The product of two Toeplitz matrices is Toeplitz.
- (c) The product of two centrosymmetric matrices is centrosymmetric.

Solution

- (a) With

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that if \mathbf{A} is upper triangular then $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i < j$. If \mathbf{B} is also upper triangular, then the (i, j) th element of the product $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{AB}$ is

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$$

For $i < j$ we have

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj} + \sum_{k=j}^n a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$$

The first summation is equal to zero since $b_{kj} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, j-1$, and the second term is equal to zero since $a_{ik} = 0$ for $k = j, \dots, n$. Therefore, $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$ and \mathbf{C} is upper triangular.

- (b) The product of two Toeplitz matrices is *not* necessarily Toeplitz. This may be easily demonstrated by example. Let \mathbf{A} be the following 3×3 Toeplitz matrix,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let \mathbf{B} be the Toeplitz matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The product, \mathbf{AB} , is

$$\mathbf{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{-2} & 0 & a_0 \\ a_{-1} & 0 & a_1 \\ a_0 & 0 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is *not* Toeplitz.

- (c) If \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are centrosymmetric matrices, then

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{J} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J}$$

and

$$\mathbf{AB} = (\mathbf{J}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{J}^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J})$$

Since $\mathbf{J}\mathbf{J}^H = \mathbf{I}$, then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J}$$

which means that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$ is centrosymmetric.

2.3 Find the minimum norm solution to the following set of underdetermined linear equations,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

With

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

since the rows of \mathbf{A} are linearly independent, then the minimum norm solution is unique and given by

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{A}^H (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

With

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H)^{-1} = \frac{1}{14} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that the minimum norm solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{14} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{14} \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.4 Consider the set of inconsistent linear equations $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Find the least squares solution to these equations.
- (b) Find the projection matrix \mathbf{P}_A .
- (c) Find the best approximation $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{P}_A \mathbf{b}$ to \mathbf{b} .
- (d) Consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_A^\perp = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_A$$

Find the vector $\mathbf{b}^\perp = \mathbf{P}_A^\perp \mathbf{b}$ and show that it is orthogonal to $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$. What does the matrix \mathbf{P}_A^\perp represent?

Solution

- (a) Since the columns of \mathbf{A} are linearly independent, the least squares solution is unique and given by

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = (\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{b}$$

With

$$\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that

$$(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_0 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The projection matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_A &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(c) The best approximation to \mathbf{b} is

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{P}_A \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) The matrix \mathbf{P}_A^\perp is

$$\mathbf{P}_A^\perp = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_A = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}^\perp = \mathbf{P}_A^\perp \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The inner product between $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and \mathbf{b}^\perp is

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{b}^\perp \rangle = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Therefore, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is *orthogonal* to \mathbf{b}^\perp . The matrix \mathbf{P}_A^\perp is a projection matrix that projects a vector onto the space that is orthogonal to the space spanned by the columns of \mathbf{A} .

2.5 Consider the problem of trying to model a sequence $x(n)$ as the sum of a constant plus a complex exponential of frequency ω_0 ,

$$\hat{x}(n) = c + ae^{jn\omega_0} \quad ; \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

where c and a are unknown. We may express the problem of finding the values for c and a as one of solving a set of overdetermined linear equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^{j\omega_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & e^{j(N-1)\omega_0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ x(1) \\ \vdots \\ x(N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Find the least squares solution for c and a .
- (b) If N is even and $\omega_0 = 2\pi k/N$ for some integer k , find the least squares solution for c and a .

Solution

- (a) Assuming that $\omega_0 \neq 0, 2\pi, \dots$, the columns of the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^{j\omega_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & e^{j(N-1)\omega_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

are linearly independent, and the least squares solution for c and a is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{x}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{jn\omega_0} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-jn\omega_0} & N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N & \frac{1 - e^{jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{j\omega_0}} \\ \frac{1 - e^{-jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{-j\omega_0}} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the inverse of $(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})$ is

$$(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \frac{1}{N^2 - \frac{1 - \cos N\omega_0}{1 - \cos \omega_0}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{1 - e^{jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{j\omega_0}} \\ -\frac{1 - e^{-jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{-j\omega_0}} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

and we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N^2 - \frac{1 - \cos N\omega_0}{1 - \cos \omega_0}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{1 - e^{jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{j\omega_0}} \\ -\frac{1 - e^{-jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{-j\omega_0}} & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \\ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-jn\omega_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

which becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N^2 - \frac{1 - \cos N\omega_0}{1 - \cos \omega_0}} \begin{bmatrix} N \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) - \frac{1 - e^{jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{j\omega_0}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-jn\omega_0} \\ N \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-jn\omega_0} - \frac{1 - e^{-jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{-j\omega_0}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) If $\omega_0 = 2\pi k/N$ and $k \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{1 - e^{jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{j\omega_0}} = \frac{1 - e^{-jN\omega_0}}{1 - e^{-j\omega_0}} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{1 - \cos N\omega_0}{1 - \cos \omega_0} = 0$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-jn\omega_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

2.6 It is known that the sum of the squares of n from $n = 1$ to $N - 1$ has a closed form expression of the following form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} n^2 = a_0 + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + a_3 N^3$$

Given that a third-order polynomial is uniquely determined in terms of the values of the polynomial at four distinct points, derive a closed form expression for this sum by setting up a set of linear equations and solving these equations for a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 . Compare your solution to that given in Table 2.3.

Solution

Assuming that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} n^2 = a_0 + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + a_3 N^3$$

we may evaluate this sum for $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and write down the following set of four equations in four unknowns

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 & 27 \\ 1 & 4 & 16 & 64 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving these equations for a_0, a_1 , and a_2 , we find

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/6 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

which gives the following closed-form expression for the sum,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} n^2 = \frac{1}{6}N - \frac{1}{2}N^2 + \frac{1}{3}N^3 = \frac{1}{6}N(N-1)(2N-1)$$

2.7 Show that a projection matrix \mathbf{P}_A has the following two properties,

1. It is *idempotent*, $\mathbf{P}_A^2 = \mathbf{P}_A$.
2. It is Hermitian.

Solution

Given a matrix \mathbf{A} , the projection matrix \mathbf{P}_A is

$$\mathbf{P}_A = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_A^2 &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \\ &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H = \mathbf{P}_A \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that \mathbf{P}_A is idempotent. Also,

$$\mathbf{P}_A^H = \left[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H \right]^H = \mathbf{A}[(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1}]^H \mathbf{A}^H$$

Since $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^H$ is Hermitian, then so is its inverse,

$$[(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1}]^H = (\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_A^H = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^H$$

Thus, \mathbf{P}_A is Hermitian.

2.8 Let $\mathbf{A} > 0$ and $\mathbf{B} > 0$ be positive definite matrices. Prove or disprove the following statements.

- (a) $\mathbf{A}^2 > 0$.
- (b) $\mathbf{A}^{-1} > 0$.
- (c) $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} > 0$.

Solution

- (a) Let \mathbf{v}_k be an eigenvector and λ_k the corresponding eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . Since

$$\mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_k) = \lambda_k \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k^2 \mathbf{v}_k$$

then \mathbf{v}_k is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A}^2 and λ_k^2 is the corresponding eigenvalue. If $\mathbf{A} > 0$, then $\lambda_k > 0$. Therefore, $\lambda_k^2 > 0$, and it follows that $\mathbf{A}^2 > 0$.

- (b) If $\mathbf{A} > 0$, then the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are positive, $\lambda_k > 0$. In addition, \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists and the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}^{-1} are λ_k^{-1} . Since $\lambda_k > 0$, it follows that $\lambda_k^{-1} > 0$ and, therefore, $\mathbf{A}^{-1} > 0$.

- (c) Let $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ be an arbitrary vector. Then

$$\mathbf{v}^H (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v}$$

If $\mathbf{A} > 0$ and $\mathbf{B} > 0$, then

$$\mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} > 0 \quad ; \quad \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v} > 0$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{v}^H (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{v} > 0$$

and it follows that $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) > 0$.

- 2.9 (a) Prove that each eigenvector of a symmetric Toeplitz matrix is either symmetric or anti-symmetric, i.e., $\mathbf{v}_k = \pm \mathbf{J}\mathbf{v}_k$.
- (b) What property can you state about the eigenvalues of a Hermitian Toeplitz matrix?

Solution

- (a) If \mathbf{A} is a symmetric Toeplitz matrix, then

$$\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{A}$$

where \mathbf{J} is the exchange matrix. If \mathbf{v}_k is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue λ_k , then

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

and, using the identity above, we have

$$\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

Since \mathbf{J} is unitary, $\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{I}$, if we multiply both sides of this equation on the left by \mathbf{J} , it follows that

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$$

Therefore, if \mathbf{v}_k is an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ_k , then $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$ is also an eigenvector with the same eigenvalue. Consequently, if the eigenvalue λ_k is *distinct*, then \mathbf{v}_k and $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$ must be equal to within a constant,

$$\mathbf{v}_k = c \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$$

However, since the exchange matrix reverses the order of the elements of the vector \mathbf{v}_k , the only possible values for this constant are $c = \pm 1$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \pm \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$$

and the eigenvector \mathbf{v}_k is either symmetric or anti-symmetric.

Now let us consider the case in which the eigenvalue λ_k is *not distinct*. We will assume that the multiplicity is two. The following discussion may be easily generalized to higher multiplicities. In this case, \mathbf{v}_k and $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$ span a two-dimensional space, and any two linearly independent vectors in this space may be selected as the eigenvectors. Therefore, we may choose

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k1} = \mathbf{v}_k + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k2} = \mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}_k$$

as the two eigenvectors. Note that $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k1}$ is symmetric and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k2}$ is anti-symmetric. This completes the proof.

- (b) In the case of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices, the eigenvectors are either Hermitian or anti-Hermitian, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \pm \mathbf{v}_k^*$$

2.10 (a) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the real 2×2 symmetric Toeplitz matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the 2×2 Hermitian matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b^* \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

(a) The eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (a - \lambda)^2 - b^2 = 0$$

Expanding the quadratic in λ we have

$$\lambda^2 - 2a\lambda + (a^2 - b^2) = [\lambda - (a + b)][\lambda - (a - b)] = 0$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = a + b$ and $\lambda_2 = a - b$. The eigenvectors, on the other hand, are solutions to the equation

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

For the first eigenvector, \mathbf{v}_1 , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix} = (a + b) \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

which gives $v_{11} = v_{12}$, or

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, the eigenvector \mathbf{v}_2 is found to be

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) With

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b^* \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

the eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (a - \lambda)^2 - |b|^2 = 0$$

or,

$$\lambda^2 - 2a\lambda + a^2 + |b|^2 = [\lambda - (a + |b|)][\lambda - (a - |b|)] = 0$$

Thus, $\lambda_1 = a + |b|$ and $\lambda_2 = a - |b|$.

The eigenvector that has eigenvalue λ_1 is the solution to

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b^* \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix} = (a + |b|) \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

which gives $v_{12} = \frac{b}{|b|}v_{11}$, or

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b/|b| \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, for \mathbf{v}_2 we have

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -b/|b| \end{bmatrix}$$

2.11 Establish Property 5 on p. 45.

Solution

Let \mathbf{B} be an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues λ_k and eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_k . With

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} + \alpha \mathbf{I}$$

note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_k &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}_k + \alpha\mathbf{v}_k \\ &= \lambda_k\mathbf{v}_k + \alpha\mathbf{v}_k = (\lambda_k + \alpha)\mathbf{v}_k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have the same eigenvectors, and the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are $\lambda_i + \alpha$.

- 2.12** A necessary and sufficient condition for a Hermitian matrix \mathbf{A} to be positive definite is that there exists a non-singular matrix \mathbf{W} such that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W}$$

- (a) Prove this result.
 (b) Find a factorization of the form $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W}$ for the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

- (a) If $\mathbf{A} > 0$, then \mathbf{A} may be factored as follows

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^H$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ with $\lambda_i > 0$. Therefore, \mathbf{A} may be factored as follows

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1^{1/2}, \dots, \lambda_N^{1/2}\} > 0$. Thus, we may write

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}) (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^H) = (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^H)^H (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^H) = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W}$$

where $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^H > 0$ is nonsingular.

Conversely, suppose that \mathbf{A} may be factored as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W}$$

where \mathbf{W} is a nonsingular matrix. Then \mathbf{W} may be factored as follows

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^H$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is a diagonal matrix and \mathbf{V} is a unitary matrix. Thus,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^H)^H (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^H) = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{V}^H$$

Since the diagonal terms of $\mathbf{\Lambda}^2$ are positive, then $\mathbf{A} > 0$.

- (b) The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are $\lambda_1 = 3$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$, and the normalized eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{W}^H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

2.13 Consider the 2×2 matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} .
- (b) Are the eigenvectors unique? Are they linearly independent? Are they orthogonal?
- (c) Diagonalize \mathbf{A} , i.e., find \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{D} such that

$$\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{D}$$

where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix.

Solution

- (a) The eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \lambda^2 + 1 = 0$$

which are $\lambda = \pm j$. The eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = j$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = j \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies that $v_2 = jv_1$. Therefore, the normalized eigenvector is

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly for the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = -j$ we have

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -j \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) The eigenvectors are unique, linearly independent, and orthogonal,

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \mathbf{v}_1^H \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$$

- (c) With \mathbf{V} the matrix of normalized eigenvectors,

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ j & -j \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{D}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{bmatrix}$$

2.14 Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

The eigenvalues of a matrix \mathbf{A} are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$$

For the given matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & -1 \\ 2 & 4 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) + 2 = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6 = (\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 3$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$. The eigenvectors are found by solving the equations

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2$$

For $\lambda_1 = 3$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

The first equation is

$$v_{11} - v_{12} = 3v_{11}$$

or

$$v_{12} = -2v_{11}$$

Therefore, the eigenvector is

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Repeating for $\lambda_2 = 2$ we find

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.15 Consider the following 3×3 symmetric matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} .
- (b) Find the determinant of \mathbf{A} .
- (c) Find the spectral decomposition of \mathbf{A} .
- (d) What are the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}$ and how are the eigenvectors related to those of \mathbf{A} ?

Solution

- (a) The eigenvalues are found from the roots of the characteristic equation,

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$$

The roots are $\lambda = 3, 1, 0$. Given the eigenvalues, the eigenvectors are found by solving the equations $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The eigenvectors (unnormalized) are

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1; \mathbf{v}_2; \mathbf{v}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) The determinant is equal to the product of the eigenvalues,

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \prod_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 0$$

- (c) The spectral decomposition for \mathbf{A} is

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^H$$

where \mathbf{v}_i are the *normalized* eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} . Since $\lambda_3 = 0$, this decomposition becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= 3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (d) If the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are λ_i , then the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}$ are $\lambda_i + 1$, and the eigenvectors are the same. Therefore, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}$ are $\lambda = 4, 2, 1$.
-

2.16 Suppose that an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$.

- (a) What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A}^2 ?
- (b) What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A}^{-1} ?

Solution

- (a) With \mathbf{v}_i an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue λ_i , note that

$$\mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda_i (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda_i^2 \mathbf{v}_i$$

Therefore, the eigenvectors of \mathbf{A}^2 are the same as those for \mathbf{A} , and the eigenvalues are λ_i^2 .

- (b) Since

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

then, assuming that \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists,

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_i$$

or

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i$$

Therefore, \mathbf{A}^{-1} has the same eigenvectors as \mathbf{A} , and the eigenvalues are $1/\lambda_i$.

2.17 Find a matrix whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 4$ with eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = [3, 1]^T$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = [2, 1]^T$.

Solution

From the given information, we have

$$\mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2]$$

Then we have

$$3\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$2\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting these two equations gives

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also, we have

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} - 2\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 18 \\ -3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.18 Gerschgorin's circle theorem states that every eigenvalue of a matrix \mathbf{A} lies in at least one of the circles C_1, \dots, C_N in the complex plane where C_i has center at the diagonal entry a_{ii} and its radius is $r_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$.

1. Prove this theorem by using the eigenvalue equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ to write

$$(\lambda - a_{ii})x_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}x_j$$

and then use the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}x_j \right| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}x_j|$$

2. Use this theorem to establish the bound on λ_{max} given in Property 7.
3. The matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

is said to be *diagonally dominant* since $|a_{ii}| > r_i$. Use Gerschgorin's circle theorem to show that this matrix is nonsingular.

Solution

1. Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_N]^T$ be an eigenvector, and λ the corresponding eigenvalue for the matrix \mathbf{A} . Assume that x_i is the largest component of \mathbf{x} , i.e. $|x_i| \geq |x_j|$ for all $j \neq i$. With $\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}x_j = \lambda x_i$$

or,

$$(\lambda - a_{ii})x_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}x_j$$

Therefore,

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| = \left| \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \left| \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right|$$

Since $|x_i| \geq |x_j|$ for all $j \neq i$, then the ratios $|x_j/x_i|$ are less than or equal to one, and λ lies in the i th circle defined by

$$|\lambda_i - a_{ii}| \leq r_i$$

where

$$r_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

2. From Gerschgorin's circle theorem, for each eigenvalue, λ , there is an i such that

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

Since

$$|\lambda| - |a_{ii}| \leq |\lambda - a_{ii}|$$

then

$$|\lambda| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

Therefore,

$$|\lambda_{\max}| \leq \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

3. Let \mathbf{A} be a matrix that is diagonally dominant,

$$|a_{ii}| > r_i$$

Assume that one of the eigenvalues is zero (\mathbf{A} is singular). From Gerschgorin's circle theorem, we know that, for each eigenvalue,

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq r_i$$

However, if $\lambda_k = 0$, then

$$|\lambda_k - a_{ii}| = |a_{ii}| \leq r_i$$

for some i . Therefore, \mathbf{A} is not diagonally dominant, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus, if \mathbf{A} is diagonally dominant, then it cannot have any zero eigenvalues and must, therefore, be nonsingular.

2.19 Consider the following quadratic function of two variables z_1 and z_2 ,

$$f(z_1, z_2) = 3z_1^2 + 3z_2^2 + 4z_1z_2 + 8$$

Find the values of z_1 and z_2 that minimize $f(z_1, z_2)$ subject to the constraint that $z_1 + z_2 = 1$ and determine the minimum value of $f(z_1, z_2)$.

Solution

To minimize the function

$$f(z_1, z_2) = 3z_1^2 + 3z_2^2 + 4z_1z_2 + 8$$

subject to the constraint

$$z_1 + z_2 = 1$$

we may use Lagrange multipliers as follows. If we define the objective function $Q(z_1, z_2)$ as follows

$$Q(z_1, z_2) = 3z_1^2 + 3z_2^2 + 4z_1z_2 + 8 + \lambda(1 - z_1 - z_2)$$

then the values for z_1 and z_2 that minimize $f(z_1, z_2)$ may be found by solving the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} Q(z_1, z_2) &= 6z_1 + 4z_2 - \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} Q(z_1, z_2) &= 6z_2 + 4z_1 - \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} Q(z_1, z_2) &= 1 - z_1 - z_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Writing the first two equations in matrix form we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving for z_1 and z_2 we find

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\lambda}{20} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ -4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\lambda}{10} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Plugging these values into the third equation above, we may solve for the Lagrange multiplier, λ , as follows,

$$1 - z_1 - z_2 = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{10} - \frac{\lambda}{10} = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{5} = 0$$

or

$$\lambda = 5$$

Given λ we may explicitly evaluate z_1 and z_2 ,

$$z_1 = 1/2 \quad ; \quad z_2 = 1/2$$

Substituting these values into $f(z_1, z_2)$ we find that the minimum value of the function is

$$\min[f(z_1, z_2)] = 10.5$$
